

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
SAN ANTONIO DIVISION

LEOLA ADELENA STUART,

*Plaintiff,*

vs.

TRINIDAD MANRIQUEZ, SAPD  
OFFICER,

*Defendant.*

§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§

CIVIL NO.  
SA-18-CV-00466-OLG

**SCHEDULING ORDER**

Before the Court is the above-styled and numbered cause of action, which was referred to the undersigned for all pretrial proceedings on July 12, 2019 [#54]. On August 26, 2019, Defendant Trinidad Manriquez filed a Motion to Enter Scheduling Order [#61]. The Court held an initial pretrial conference in this case on August 27, 2019, at which Defendant appeared. The Court reviewed the proposed deadlines in Defendant's motion. Based on these recommendations and pursuant to Rule 16 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure,

**IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that Defendant's Motion to Enter Scheduling Order [#61] is **GRANTED** and the following Scheduling Order is issued to control the course of this case:

1. A report on alternative dispute resolution in compliance with Local Rule CV-88 shall be filed by **October 31, 2019**.
2. The parties asserting claims for relief shall submit a written offer of settlement to opposing parties by **October 31, 2019**, and each opposing party shall respond, in writing, by **November 13, 2019**.
3. The parties shall file all motions to amend or supplement pleadings or to join additional parties by **November 29, 2019**.

4. All parties asserting claims for relief shall file their designation of testifying experts, and shall serve on all parties, but not file the materials required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2)(B) by **October 31, 2019**. Parties resisting claims for relief shall file their designation of testifying experts and shall serve on all parties, but not file the materials required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2)(B) by **December 14, 2019**. All designations of rebuttal experts shall be designated within **14 days** of receipt of the report of the opposing expert.

5. An objection to the reliability of an expert's proposed testimony under Federal Rule of Evidence 702 shall be made by motion, specifically stating the basis for the objection and identifying the objectionable testimony, within **30 days** of receipt of the written report of the expert's proposed testimony, or within **30 days** of the expert's deposition, if a deposition is taken, whichever is later.

6. The parties shall complete all discovery on or before **January 28, 2020**. Counsel may by agreement continue discovery beyond the deadline, but there will be no intervention by the Court except in extraordinary circumstances, and no trial setting will be vacated because of information obtained in post-deadline discovery.

7. All dispositive motions shall be filed no later than **February 27, 2020**. Dispositive motions as defined in Local Rule CV-7(c) and responses to dispositive motions shall be limited to 15 pages in length. Replies, if any, shall be limited to 10 pages in length in accordance with Local Rule CV-7(f).

8. This case is set for **bench trial** on **June 8, 2020 at 9:30 a.m.** and a final pretrial conference on **June 3, 2020 at 10:30 a.m.** The parties should consult Local Rule CV-16(e) regarding matters to be filed in advance of trial.

9. If at any time during the pendency of this lawsuit, you would like to consent to the trial of your case by a United States Magistrate Judge, please indicate your consent on the attached form or request a form through the Clerk's Office. If all parties consent to trial of this case by a United States Magistrate Judge, this Court will enter an order referring the case to a United States Magistrate Judge for trial and entry of judgment.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

SIGNED this 28th day of August, 2019.



ELIZABETH S. ("BETSY") CHESTNEY  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

